

REMARKS

Claims 28 and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Schulz (2,002,987). Although applicant sincerely believes that claims 28 and 29 were not anticipated by Schulz, claims 28 and 29 have been cancelled and rewritten as newly submitted claims 30 and 31 respectively with certain limitations added thereto which clearly distinguish Schulz. Each of claims 30 and 31 specifically describe that each of the peripheral sections have upper and lower end edges and first and second side edges. Claims 30 and 31 also describe that each of the peripheral sections have first and second vertically extending break lines formed therein adjacent the first and second side edges respectively to form longitudinally inwardly extending first and second edge portions. Claims 30 and 31 also describe that each of the peripheral sections also have a plurality of spaced-apart longitudinally extending break lines formed therein between the first and second break lines to form a plurality of angled wall sections between the first and second break lines. Further, claims 30 and 31 specifically describe that the angled wall sections immediately adjacent the first and second break lines of adjacent peripheral sections define an externally presented general V-shape therebetween.


One merely has to review Fig. 18 of Schulz to understand that Schulz may have first and second break lines adjacent the side edges of each of the peripheral sections but does not have a plurality of spaced-apart longitudinally extending break lines formed therein between the first and second break lines to form a plurality of angled

1 wall sections between the first and second break lines. Accordingly, Schulz cannot
anticipate newly submitted claims 30 and 31.

5 Additionally, inasmuch as there is absolutely no suggestion, motivation or
teaching in Schulz that the peripheral sections could have a plurality of longitudinally
spaced-apart break lines formed therein between first and second break lines to form
angled wall sections, applicant's claimed structure in claims 30 and 31 would not have
been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.
Therefore, applicant submits that claims 30 and 31 are clearly allowable over the prior
10 art.

A one month extension fee is attached hereto. Please consider this a request
for any fee inadvertently omitted and charge any additional fees to Deposit Account
No. 502093.

15 Respectfully submitted,



DENNIS L. THOMTE
Registration No. 22,497
THOMTE LAW OFFICE, LLC
Attorneys of Record

20 2120 S. 72nd Street, Suite 1111
Omaha, NE 68124
(402) 392-2280



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the original of this AMENDMENT for MARK J. PERINA, Serial No. 10/797,778, was mailed by first class mail, postage prepaid, to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 9th day of November, 2007.


DENNIS L. THOMTE